

An infinite family of superintegrable deformations of the Coulomb potential

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 222001

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/43/22/222001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.159

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 09:16

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

FAST TRACK COMMUNICATION

An infinite family of superintegrable deformations of the Coulomb potential

Sarah Post¹ and Pavel Winternitz²¹ Centre de recherches mathématiques, CP 6128 succ. Centre-Ville, Montreal (QC) H3C 3J7, Canada² Centre de recherches mathématiques and Département de mathématiques et de statistique, CP 6128 succ. Centre-Ville, Montreal (QC) H3C 3J7, CanadaE-mail: post@CRM.UMontreal.CA and wintern@CRM.UMontreal.CA

Received 26 March 2010

Published 11 May 2010

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/222001**Abstract**

We introduce a new family of Hamiltonians with a deformed Kepler–Coulomb potential dependent on an indexing parameter k . We show that this family is superintegrable for all rational k and compute the classical trajectories and quantum wavefunctions. We show that this system is related, via coupling constant metamorphosis, to a family of superintegrable deformations of the harmonic oscillator given by Tremblay, Turbiner and Winternitz. In doing so, we prove that all Hamiltonians with an oscillator term are related by coupling constant metamorphosis to systems with a Kepler–Coulomb term, both on Euclidean space. We also look at the effect of the transformation on the integrals of the motion, the classical trajectories and the wavefunctions, and give the transformed integrals explicitly for the classical system.

PACS numbers: 03.65.FD, 02.30.K, 11.30.Na

1. Introduction

The purpose of this communication is to introduce an infinite family of classical and quantum systems with the Hamiltonian

$$V_k^{\text{DC}} = -\frac{Q}{r} + \frac{\alpha k^2}{4r^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)} + \frac{\beta k^2}{4r^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}} = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + V_k^{\text{DC}}, \quad H_k^{\text{DC}} = -\Delta + V_k^{\text{DC}}, \quad (2)$$

where (r, ϕ) are the polar coordinates with $0 \leq \phi \leq \frac{2\pi}{k}$, \vec{p} is the linear momentum and Δ is the Laplacian on two-dimensional Euclidean space. The superscript denotes that it is a

deformed Coulomb potential and the subscript shows the dependence on k . This system was given for $k = 1$ in [1] and for $k = 2$ in [2].

We shall show that the classical system is superintegrable for all rational values of k in that it allows two independent integrals of motion, besides the Hamiltonian. Both are polynomial in the momentum, one of second order and the other a higher order polynomial. We show that all bounded classical trajectories of these systems are closed and the motion is periodic. For the quantum system, we show that the Schrödinger equation is exactly solvable and the energy levels are essentially the same as those of the Coulomb system. We will also show that these systems are related to a family of superintegrable deformations of the harmonic oscillator via coupling constant metamorphosis.

Superintegrable systems can be classified by the degree of the highest order integral of the motion, excluding the Hamiltonian. The superintegrable systems of first order are directly related to the Lie groups of point transformations while the superintegrable systems of second order are characterized by separability in multiple coordinate systems. Both types are considered to be well understood [3–8]. The classification of higher order superintegrable systems remains an open problem and has been a subject of much recent activity [2, 9–17].

Most relevant to this communication is the recent discovery of a family of superintegrable deformations of the harmonic oscillator [18] indexed by a parameter k , referred to by others in subsequent articles as the TTW system. It can be defined both as a classical or quantum Hamiltonian with the potential $V = \omega^2 \rho^2 + \alpha k^2 \rho^{-2} \sec^2(k\theta) + \beta k^2 \rho^{-2} \csc^2(k\theta)$. This system has ignited much recent work on its conjectured superintegrability for certain values of k . Specifically, for the integer k , it was conjectured to have an independent integral of the motion of order $2k$, in addition to the second-order integral defining separation of variables.

The original authors proved exact solvability for all k and superintegrability for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Later they demonstrated the periodicity of bounded trajectories in the classical case for rational k [19], supporting the conjecture. In recent papers, the classical superintegrability was proven for rational k [20] and the quantum superintegrability was proven for odd k [21]. Most recently, a constructive proof of the superintegrability of the quantum system for rational k was given in [22].

An important tool in the analysis of superintegrable systems is the coupling constant metamorphosis, also referred to as the Stäckel transform which maps one Hamiltonian to another [23, 24]. This transform is particularly useful in the study of integrable and superintegrable systems because it carries an associated mapping of the integrals of the motion. In this communication, we will see that the new Hamiltonian given above is Stäckel equivalent to the TTW system and so the integrals of the motion, trajectories and wavefunctions will be intimately linked.

In sections 2 and 3, we find the classical trajectories and then the quantum wavefunctions. In section 4, we discuss the Stäckel transform and prove that systems with oscillator terms in the potential are Stäckel equivalent to systems with Kepler–Coulomb terms and describe the effect of the Stäckel transform on the trajectories or wavefunctions and on the integrals of the motion. In section 5, we apply these theorems to determine higher order integrals of the motion for the classical system.

2. The classical trajectories

We consider the classical trajectories of the system $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ given by (1) and (2) and prove that the bounded trajectories are closed and the motion is periodic. We follow the procedure in [25] and separate the action as $S = S_1(r) + S_2(\phi) - Et$. The Hamilton–Jacobi equation separates as

Table 1. Parameter restrictions for bounded trajectories.

Restriction	Effect	Restriction	Effect
$D_1 \equiv Q^2 + 4AE > 0$	r_i real	$D_2 \equiv \left(A - \frac{k^2}{4}(\beta + \alpha)\right)^2 - \frac{\alpha\beta k^4}{4} > 0$	u_i real
$Q > 0$	$0 < r_2$	$A - \frac{k^2}{4} \beta - \alpha > 0$	$u_2 > 0$
$A > 0$	$0 < r_1$ and $u_1 < \cos^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right) < u_2$	$\beta > 0$	$u_1 > 0$
$E < 0$	$r_1 < r < r_2$	$\alpha > 0$	$u_2 < 1$

$$-A = r^2 \left(\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial r}\right)^2 - Qr - Er^2 \tag{3}$$

$$-A = -\left(\left(\frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \phi}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha k^2}{4 \cos^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)} + \frac{\beta k^2}{4 \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)}\right), \tag{4}$$

and for S_1 and S_2 , we have

$$S_1(r) = \int \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{Er^2 + Qr - A} dr, \quad S_2(\phi) = \int \sqrt{A - \frac{\alpha k^2}{4 \cos^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)} - \frac{\beta k^2}{4 \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right)}} d\phi.$$

The trajectories will then satisfy

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E} - t = \delta_1, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial A} = \delta_2. \tag{5}$$

It remains to integrate these equations under the condition that the motion be bounded,

$$0 \leq r_1 \leq r \leq r_2, \quad Er_i^2 + Qr_i - A = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{6}$$

Also, we have the requirement

$$0 \leq u_1 \leq \cos^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right) \leq u_2 \leq 1, \quad -Au_i^2 + \left(A - \beta\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 + \alpha\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2\right)u_i - \alpha\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{7}$$

These conditions give restrictions on the choices of parameters. We summarize these in table 1.

Under the restrictions given in the table, the solutions for (5) are

$$0 = \frac{-2Er - Q}{\sqrt{D_1}} + \sin\left(\frac{4(-E)^{3/2}}{Q}(t + \delta_1) + \frac{2\sqrt{-E}}{a}\sqrt{Er^2 + Qr - A}\right), \tag{8}$$

$$0 = -\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{A}} \arcsin\left(\frac{2A - Qr}{r\sqrt{D_1}}\right) + \frac{1}{2k\sqrt{A}} \arcsin\left(\frac{2A \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right) - A + \frac{k^2}{4}(\beta - \alpha)}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right) \tag{9}$$

and hence r has the period of $\frac{Q\pi}{2(-E)^{3/2}}$ in t . These trajectories are also periodic in ϕ for rational $k = c/d$ with integers c, d . Rewriting (9),

$$0 = -2\sqrt{Ac}\delta_2 + \frac{c+d}{2}\pi - c \arccos\left(\frac{2A - Qr}{r\sqrt{D_1}}\right) - d \arccos\left(\frac{2A \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\phi\right) - A + \frac{k^2}{4}(\beta - \alpha)}{\sqrt{D_2}}\right) \tag{10}$$

and using the Chebyshev polynomials defined as

$$T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos(x)), \quad U_n(x) = \frac{\sin((n+1) \arccos(x))}{\sin \arccos x} \quad (11)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -T_c \left(\frac{2A - Qr}{r\sqrt{D_1}} \right) + \cos(C) T_d \left(\frac{2A \sin^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \phi \right) - A + \frac{k^2}{4} (\beta - \alpha)}{\sqrt{D_2}} \right) \\ & + \sin(C) U_{d-1} \left(\frac{2A \sin^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \phi \right) - A + \frac{k^2}{4} (\beta - \alpha)}{\sqrt{D_2}} \right) \\ & \times \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2A \sin^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} \phi \right) - A + \frac{k^2}{4} (\beta - \alpha)}{\sqrt{D_2}} \right)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $C = (-2\sqrt{A}p\delta_2 + (c+d)/2\pi)$. Under the given restrictions on the parameters, the implicit function for $r = r(\phi)$ given by (12) is well defined and periodic, with period $\tau = \frac{2}{k}\pi$ in ϕ .

We note that these trajectories and their determining equations bear a striking resemblance to those obtained for the TTW system [19]. In fact, the implicit function determining $r = r(\phi)$ is identical under a change of variables and parameters. We shall see in a later section why this is so.

3. Eigenfunctions for the quantum system

In this section, we solve for the wavefunctions of the quantum system H_k^{DC} given by (1) and (2) and show that the system is exactly solvable. That is, its energy values can be calculated algebraically and the eigenfunctions can be realized as polynomials modulo a gauge function [26, 27].

We assume a solution $\Psi = R(r)S(\phi)$ and separate the equation $H_k^{\text{DC}}\Psi = E\Psi$ as

$$\left(-\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r} \partial_r + \frac{A}{r^2} - \frac{Q}{r} - E \right) R(r) = 0. \quad (13)$$

$$\left(-\partial_\phi^2 + \frac{\alpha k^2}{4 \cos^2 \left(\frac{k\phi}{2} \right)} + \frac{\beta k^2}{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{k\phi}{2} \right)} - A \right) S(\phi) = 0. \quad (14)$$

We look for the solutions which can be written as gauge transformations of a polynomial, a characteristic of exact solvability. In order to obtain such a form of solutions, we require that α and β be greater than $-1/4$ and rewrite them as $\alpha = a(a-1)$, $\beta = b(b-1)$.

If we take a gauge transformation with the gauge $G_1 = r^{\sqrt{A}} e^{2r\sqrt{-E}}$, the transformed radial equation (13) will have polynomial solutions if we restrict to the quantized values of the energy $E = -Q^2(2n+1+2\sqrt{A})^{-2}$. If we make a gauge transformation with $G_2 = \cos \left(\frac{k}{2} \phi \right)^a \sin \left(\frac{k}{2} \phi \right)^b$, then the transformed angular equation (14) will have polynomial solutions if we restrict to quantized values of the parameter $A = k^2(2m+a+b)^2/4$.

A set of solutions for the Schrödinger equation is given by the Jacobi polynomial multiplied by Laguerre polynomials

$$\Psi = G_1 G_2 L_n^{2\sqrt{A}}(2r\sqrt{-E}) P_m^{a-\frac{1}{2}, b-\frac{1}{2}}(-\cos(k\phi)) \quad (15)$$

with energy

$$E = \frac{-Q^2}{(2(n + km) + 1 + ka + kb)^2}. \tag{16}$$

For a given rational $k = c/d$, the energy levels are indexed by an integer $N = dn + cm$ and their degeneracy is $D = [dN/c] + 1$. This coincides with the degeneracy of an anisotropic oscillator with the frequency ratio c/d .

These wavefunctions are in agreement with the solutions for the $k = 1$ case previously analyzed [1]. The quantum system is indeed exactly solvable and we recover the requirements $A > 0, E < 0$ with a slight relaxation in the restrictions on the parameters α and β which are both required to be greater than $-1/4$ instead of positive as in the classical case. Here we see again the relation between these eigenfunctions and those of the TTW system which differ by the same change of variables and parameters as the classical trajectories. In the next section we shall see why this is the case as we prove some theorems which are directly relevant to our systems.

4. Coupling constant metamorphosis of Hamiltonians separable in polar coordinates with a harmonic oscillator term in the potential

Consider a classical Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} - \tilde{E}U$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ includes the kinetic energy and part of the potential independent of the coupling constant $(-\tilde{E})$. We can then write the Hamilton–Jacobi equation $\mathcal{H} = E$ and solve for \tilde{E} to obtain a new Hamilton–Jacobi equation $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \equiv U^{-1}(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - E) = \tilde{E}$. For \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the roles of coupling constant and the energy are interchanged. The quantum version follows by direct analogy. Such a transform is called coupling constant metamorphosis or the Stäckel transform. A remarkable characteristic of coupling constant metamorphosis is that there is an associated mapping of the integrals of the motion to the new system. Such mapping was given first for classical integrable Hamiltonians and later extended to quantum systems with second-order integrals [23, 24]. More recently, the quantum transform was extended to higher order constants of the motion [28].

The theorems which define the transforms are given below with their proofs.

Theorem 1. *Given a classical Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H} = \hat{\mathcal{H}} - \tilde{E}U$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is independent of the arbitrary parameter \tilde{E} , with an integral of the motion $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{E})$. If we define the Stäckel transform of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{L} as $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \equiv U^{-1}(\hat{\mathcal{H}} - E)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\tilde{H})$, respectively, then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is an integral of the motion for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.*

To prove this, we use an identity for Poisson brackets given by

$$\{F(p_i, q_j, f(p_i, q_j)), G\} = \{F(p_i, q_j, \tau), G\}|_{\tau=f(p_i, q_j)} + \frac{\partial F(p_i, q_j, \tau)}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=f(p_i, q_j)} \{f(p_i, q_j), G\},$$

where p_i and q_j are the conjugate position and momenta, respectively, and τ is a parameter. With this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{U}(\mathcal{H} + \tilde{E}U - E), \mathcal{L} \Big|_{\tilde{E}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{U}(\mathcal{H} + \tilde{E}U - E), \mathcal{L} \right\} \Big|_{\tilde{E}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} + \partial_{\tilde{E}} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{E})|_{\tilde{E}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} \{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\} \\ &= -\{U, \mathcal{L}\} \frac{1}{U^2}(\mathcal{H} - E) \Big|_{\tilde{E}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\mathcal{H}|_{\tilde{E}=\tilde{\mathcal{H}}} = E$, we see that $\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}\} = 0$ and we have proved the theorem.

There is an associated theorem for quantum systems though we must make a further assumption about the form of the integral of motion in order to get a well-defined integral.

Theorem 2. *Given a quantum Hamiltonian $H = \hat{H} - \tilde{E}U$, where \hat{H} is independent of the arbitrary parameter \tilde{E} , with an integral of the motion $L = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} K_{N-2j} \tilde{E}^j$, where K_i have degree i as differential operators. If we define the Stäckel transform of H and L as $\tilde{H} = U^{-1}(\hat{H} - E)$ and $\tilde{L} = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} K_{N-2j} \tilde{H}^j$, respectively, then $[\tilde{H}, \tilde{L}] = 0$.*

Furthermore, if H is self-adjoint and L is self- or skew-adjoint, depending on the parity of N , with respect to $d\mu$, then \tilde{H} will be self-adjoint and \tilde{L} will have the same parity as L with respect to the metric $U d\mu$.

The proof of this theorem uses the fact that the K_i 's do not depend on \tilde{E} to show that for all integer j , we have

$$[L, H] = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} K_{N-2j} \tilde{E}^j, \hat{H} + \tilde{E}U \right] = 0 \iff [K_{N-2j}, \hat{H}] + [K_{N-2j+2}, U] = 0, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\int Lfg \, d\mu = (-1)^N \int fLg \, d\mu \iff \int K_{N-2j} fg \, d\mu = (-1)^N \int f K_{N-2j} g \, d\mu, \quad (18)$$

where we have extended the K_i to all integers by setting $K_i = 0$ if $i < 0$ or $i > N$. We then use $[K_{N-2j}, \tilde{H}] = U^{-1}[K_{N-2j}, \hat{H}] - U^{-1}[K_{N-2j}, U]\tilde{H}$ to compute

$$[\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}] = \sum_j ([K_{N-2j}, \hat{H}] + [K_{N-2j+2}, U]) \tilde{H}^j = 0, \quad (19)$$

where the last equality follows by (17). Similarly, (18) implies

$$\int \sum_j K_{N-2j} \tilde{H}^j fgU \, d\mu = \sum_j (-1)^N \int f(\tilde{H}^j U^{-1} K_{N-2j} U g)U \, d\mu \quad (20)$$

while (17) gives $\sum_j \tilde{H}^j U^{-1} K_{N-2j} U = \sum_j K_{N-2j} \tilde{H}^j$. Hence, the equality $\int \tilde{L}fgU \, d\mu = (-1)^N \int f\tilde{L}gU \, d\mu$ holds and we have proved the theorem.

It is important to note that the Stäckel transform usually maps one system to another on a different ambient manifold since there is a conformal transform of the metric. However, for certain forms of U , the system is still on Euclidean space, though with a different choice of variables; this will be the case for $U = \rho^2$. If we assume further that the Hamiltonian is separable in polar coordinates, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *Given a classical Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} in four-dimensional phase space separable in polar coordinates and with a term in the potential corresponding to an isotropic oscillator, i.e. of the form*

$$\mathcal{H}(\rho, \theta) = p_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} p_\theta^2 - \tilde{E} \rho^2 + f_1(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} f_2(\theta), \quad (21)$$

where $f_1(r)$ and $f_2(\theta)$ are independent of \tilde{E} . The Stäckel transform of \mathcal{H} is again on Euclidean space and given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(r, \phi) = p_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} p_\phi^2 - \frac{E}{2r} + \frac{1}{2r} f_1(\sqrt{2r}) + \frac{1}{4r^2} f_2\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right). \quad (22)$$

This theorem can be directly verified by taking the Stäckel transform of \mathcal{H} as given in theorem 1:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\rho, \theta) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(p_\rho^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} p_\theta^2 + f_1(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} f_2(\theta) - E \right),$$

and making the change of variables $r = \rho^2/2, \phi = 2\theta$. We have the following immediate result.

Corollary 1. *The Hamiltonian given by (22) is separable in polar coordinates with an associated integral of the motion $\mathcal{L}_1 = p_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} f_2(\frac{\phi}{2})$. Furthermore, if \mathcal{H} has an additional integral of the motion, then so will $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.*

The first assertion can be observed from the Hamiltonian and both assertions are results of theorem 1. There is also a constructive relation between the trajectories of the two systems.

Theorem 4. *If $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \theta)$ as given in (21) has trajectories $(\rho(t), \theta(t))$ which satisfy $\delta_1 = F_1(\rho) - t, \delta_2 = F_2(\rho, \theta)$ then trajectories for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(r, \phi)$ will satisfy*

$$\delta_1 = \frac{d}{d\tilde{E}} \int F_1(\sqrt{2r}) dE - t, \quad \delta_2 = F_2\left(\sqrt{2r}, \frac{\phi}{2}\right). \quad (23)$$

We prove this by following the same procedure as in the previous section to solve for the trajectories. We separate the action as $S = S_1(\rho) + S_2(\theta) - Et$ for \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}_1(\sqrt{2r}) + \tilde{S}_2(\frac{\phi}{2}) - \tilde{E}t$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. By construction, the Hamilton–Jacobi equations are identical, the only difference being that the energy for the system \mathcal{H} is E while \tilde{E} is the energy of the system $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, we have $S_1(\rho) = \tilde{S}_1(\rho)$ and $S_2(\theta) = \tilde{S}_2(\theta)$. Therefore, the trajectories for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ must satisfy

$$\left(\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \tilde{E}} \right) - t = \delta_1, \quad \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial A} = \delta_2. \quad (24)$$

Hence, if the trajectories for $\mathcal{H}, (\rho(t), \theta(t))$, satisfy $\delta_1 = F_1(\rho) - t, \delta_2 = F_2(\rho, \theta)$, then

$$F_1(\rho) = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial E}, \quad F_2(\rho, \theta) = \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A} + \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial A}$$

and so the trajectories for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}, (r(t), \phi(t))$, must satisfy

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{E}} \int F_1(\sqrt{2r}) dE - t, \quad \delta_2 = F_2\left(\sqrt{2r}, \frac{\phi}{2}\right).$$

We have a similar result for the quantum system.

Theorem 5. *Given a quantum Hamiltonian $H(\rho, \theta)$ in two dimensions separable in polar coordinates and with a term in the potential corresponding to an isotropic oscillator, i.e. of the form*

$$H(\rho, \theta) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho(\rho \partial_\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_\theta^2 - \tilde{E} \rho^2 + f_1(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} f_2(\theta), \quad (25)$$

where $f_1(\rho)$ and $f_2(\theta)$ are independent of \tilde{E} . The Stäckel transform of $H(\rho, \theta)$ is again on Euclidean space and given by

$$\tilde{H}(r, \phi) = -\frac{1}{r} \partial_r(r \partial_r) - \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\phi^2 - \frac{E}{2r} + \frac{1}{2r} f_1(\sqrt{2r}) + \frac{1}{4r^2} f_2\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right). \quad (26)$$

This theorem can be directly verified by taking the Stäckel transform of H as given in theorem 2:

$$\tilde{H}(\rho, \theta) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(-\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho(\rho \partial_\rho) - \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial_\theta^2 - E + f_1(\rho) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} f_2(\theta) \right)$$

and making a change of variables of $r = \rho^2/2, \phi = 2\theta$. We have the following immediate result.

Corollary 2. *The Hamiltonian given by (26) is separable in polar coordinates with an associated integral of the motion $L_1 = -\partial_\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4} f_2(\frac{\phi}{2})$. Furthermore, if H has an additional integral of the motion of the form given in theorem 2, then so will \tilde{H} .*

In addition, the solutions to the Schrödinger equation for H are also solutions to the Schrödinger equation for \tilde{H} since, by construction, $(\tilde{H}(\rho, \theta) - \tilde{E}) = \frac{1}{\rho^2}(H(\rho, \theta) - E)$.

Theorem 6. *If $\Psi(\rho, \theta)$ is a solution to $H(\rho, \theta)\Psi(\rho, \theta) = E\Psi(\rho, \theta)$ then $\Psi(\sqrt{2r}, \frac{\phi}{2})$ will be a solution to $\tilde{H}(r, \phi)\Psi(\sqrt{2r}, \frac{\phi}{2}) = \tilde{E}\Psi(\sqrt{2r}, \frac{\phi}{2})$.*

If we consider the case where $\tilde{E} = -\omega^2, f_1(r) = 0$ and $f_2(\theta) = \alpha k^2 \rho^{-2} \sec^2(k\theta) + \beta k^2 \rho^{-2} \csc^2(k\theta)$, then the original Hamiltonians \mathcal{H} and H correspond to the TTW system, $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ and H_k^{TTW} , respectively [18]. Furthermore, if we take $E = Q/2$, then the transformed Hamiltonians $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ and \tilde{H}_k^{TTW} will coincide with $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ and H_k^{DC} , respectively, so we can use the superintegrability of the TTW system to prove the superintegrability of the deformed Coulomb system.

5. The higher order integral of the motion

We can directly apply the above theorems to the TTW system to obtain an additional integral of the motion for $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ by taking the Stäckel transform of the higher order constant of the motion of the TTW system. The existence of constants of the motion for the classical TTW Hamiltonian were recently proven for all rational k [20]. We would like to see the mapping of these integrals of the motion under the Stäckel transform. In particular, we want to verify that they will remain polynomial in the momenta. To do this, we derive an explicit expression for the integral and show that these are the polynomials in the parameter ω^2 .

We begin by finding an explicit expression for the constants of motion. First, we redefine $\rho = e^R$ in order to express the Hamiltonian and the integral of the motion as

$$\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}} = e^{-2R} (p_R^2 + \omega^2 e^{4R} + \mathcal{L}_1), \tag{27}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = p_\theta^2 + \frac{\alpha k^2}{\cos^2(k\theta)} + \frac{\beta k^2}{\sin^2(k\theta)}. \tag{28}$$

The auxiliary functions \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} given in [20] can be written in a modified form as

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}} \arccos \left(\frac{B_x}{\sqrt{B_y^2 + B_x^2}} \right), \quad \mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{4k\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}} \arccos \left(\frac{A_x}{\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2}} \right)$$

$$A_y = \mathcal{L}_1 \cos(2k\theta) - \alpha k^2 + \beta k^2, \quad A_x = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1} \sin(2k\theta) p_\theta,$$

$$B_y = 2\mathcal{L}_1 e^{-2R} - \mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}, \quad B_x = 2\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1} e^{-2R} p_R.$$

Note that, because the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} A_x^2 + A_y^2 &= (\mathcal{L}_1 - (\alpha + \beta)k^2)^2 - 4k^4\alpha\beta, \\ B_x^2 + B_y^2 &= (\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}})^2 - 4\omega^2\mathcal{L}_1 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

depend only on $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}$, \mathcal{L}_1 and parameters, we can always multiply any integral of the motion by a function of these and it will still Poisson commute with $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}$.

Since the functions \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} satisfy $\{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}\} = \{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{H}_k^{\text{TTW}}\} = e^{-2R}$, $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N}$ will be an integral of the motion, though not polynomial in the momenta. However, for rational $k = c/d$ with integers c, d , the integral can be put into a form so that it is polynomial in the momenta. One such integral is

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{sin})} \equiv (\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2})^c (\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2})^d \frac{\sin(4c\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N}))}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}^{\delta_{c+d-1}}}, \tag{30}$$

where δ_i is 0 if i is even and 1 when i is odd. To show that this integral is polynomial in the momenta, we use the identities for Chebyshev polynomials (11) to rewrite \mathcal{L}_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{sin})} &= (\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2})^c (\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2})^d \left[\frac{B_y}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}} U_{c-1} \left(\frac{B_x}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}} \right) T_d \left(\frac{A_x}{\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{A_y}{\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2}} T_c \left(\frac{B_x}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}} \right) U_{d-1} \left(\frac{A_x}{\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2}} \right) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}^{\delta_{c+d-1}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

which can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{sin})} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}^{\delta_{c+d-1}}} \left[\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{c}{2m+1} (-1)^m B_x^{c-2m-1} B_y^{2m+1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} \binom{d}{2m} (-1)^m A_x^{d-2m} A_y^{2m} \right) \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{d}{2m+1} (-1)^m A_x^{d-2m-1} A_y^{2m+1} \right) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor} \binom{c}{2m} (-1)^m B_x^{c-2m} B_y^{2m} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

This is polynomial in the momenta because of the definitions of the A 's and B 's and because of the parity of the Chebyshev polynomials.

As demonstrated in the original paper, there is also an integral of the motion obtained by taking cosine instead. It can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{cos})} \equiv (\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2})^c (\sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2})^d \frac{\cos(4c\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N}))}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}^{\delta_{c+d}}}. \tag{33}$$

Because of the power of $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_1}$ in the denominator, the degrees of $\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{sin})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{cos})}$ will differ by 1, with the lowest degree being $2(c + d) - 1$. In the case of the integer k , we do not get a symmetry operator of degree $2k$ but instead one of degree at least $2k + 1$. In this case, the operator of lowest degree, namely $2k + 1$, will be $\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{cos})}$ for even k and $\mathcal{L}_2^{(\text{sin})}$ for odd k . Thus, while it has been explicitly proven that the systems are classically superintegrable for rational values of k , there is still no proof that the integrals of the motion can be written as polynomials

in the momenta of degree $2k$ for the integer k . We conjecture that there is such an integral \mathcal{L}_3 and it can be related to the integrals given above by $\mathcal{L}_2^{(\mu)} = \{\mathcal{L}_3, \mathcal{L}_1\}$, for $\mu = \sin, \cos$ depending on the parity of k . We have verified the conjecture for $k = 1, 2$.

From the above equations, we see explicitly that the integrals of motion are polynomial in the parameter ω^2 and hence coupling constant metamorphosis will map them to the integrals of the motion for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ which are still polynomial in the momenta. To determine such integrals we must replace $\omega^2 = -\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ and perform the requisite change of variables. Hence, the constants of the motion for the Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ will be

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(\mu)}(r, \phi) = \mathcal{L}_2^{(\mu)}(\sqrt{2r}, \phi/2)|_{\omega^2 = -\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}}, \quad \mu = \sin, \cos. \quad (34)$$

Finally, $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ is related to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k^{\text{TTW}}$ by the parameter change $E = Q/2$ and so the integrals will need to undergo the same transform. The existence of these additional integrals of motion proves that the classical system $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ is superintegrable.

6. Conclusion

In this communication, we have presented a new infinite family of superintegrable systems associated with a deformation of the Coulomb potential. We have shown that the bounded trajectories for the classical system are periodic and that the quantum system is exactly solvable. We have also shown that deformed Coulomb system is Stäckel equivalent to the TTW system and used the classical Stäckel transform to show that the new Hamiltonian is classically superintegrable. We mention that Bertrand's theorem [25, 29] (valid in n dimensions) tells us that the only spherically symmetric potentials for which all classical bounded trajectories are closed are the harmonic oscillator and the Coulomb–Kepler potential. The potentials αr^{-1} and αr^2 are also the only two spherically symmetric potentials which are maximally superintegrable. We now see that at least for $n = 2$ both of these systems can be deformed into infinite families of superintegrable systems by adding a symmetry breaking term as in (1) and that the two families are related via coupling constant metamorphosis.

As a tool in our analysis, we have proven some general theorems about coupling constant metamorphosis and its action on a class of Hamiltonians, of which the TTW is an example. Though the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_k^{\text{DC}}$ and H_k^{DC} are certainly novel systems, there is a direct relation induced by coupling constant metamorphosis between not only the integrals of the motion but also the trajectories and wavefunctions of the two systems. These characteristics underscore the value of the Stäckel transform as a classifying tool. A subject of immediate interest is to try to determine which functions U give Stäckel equivalent systems on the same manifold and also if we can generalize these results to higher dimensions. For example, recently a three-dimensional generalization of this system was shown to be classically superintegrable for rational k [30].

Finally, it remains a further subject of research to find the closed form solutions of the second integrals of motion in the quantum case and prove that they can be chosen in a form that will admit a Stäckel transform. This is the case for all explicitly constructed examples given in [18, 22] and in the general odd k case given in [21].

Acknowledgments

We thank W Miller, F Tremblay and A V Turbiner for discussions. The research of PW was partly supported by NSERC of Canada.

References

- [1] Kalnins E G, Miller W Jr and Pogosyan G S 1996 Superintegrability and associated polynomial solutions. Euclidean space and the sphere in two dimensions *J. Math. Phys.* **37** 6439
- [2] Rodríguez M A, Tempesta P and Winternitz P 2009 Symmetry reduction and superintegrable Hamiltonian systems *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **175** 012013
- [3] Fris I, Mandrosov V, Smorodinsky Ya A, Uhlír M and Winternitz P 1965 On higher symmetries in quantum mechanics *Phys. Lett.* **16** 354–6
- [4] Makarov A A, Smorodinsky J A, Valiev Kh and Winternitz P 1967 A systematic search for nonrelativistic systems with dynamical symmetries *Il Nuovo Cimento A* **52** 1061
- [5] Daskaloyannis C and Ypsilantis K 2006 Unified treatment and classification of superintegrable systems with integrals quadratic in momenta on a two dimensional manifold *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 042904
- [6] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2006 Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces: V. 2d and 3d quantum systems *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 093501
- [7] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W 2007 Nondegenerate 3d complex Euclidean superintegrable systems and algebraic varieties *J. Math. Phys. A* **46** 113518
- [8] Daskaloyannis C and Tanoudis Y 2007 Quantum superintegrable systems with quadratic integrals on a two dimensional manifold *J. Math. Phys.* **48** 072108
- [9] Karlovini M, Pucacco G, Rosquist K and Samuelsson L 2002 A unified treatment of quartic invariants at fixed and arbitrary energy *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 4041–59
- [10] Gravel S and Winternitz P 2002 Superintegrability with third-order integrals in quantum and classical mechanics *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 5902
- [11] Gravel S 2004 Hamiltonians separable in Cartesian coordinates and third-order integrals of motion *J. Math. Phys.* **45** 1003–19
- [12] Evans N W and Verrier P E 2008 Superintegrability of the caged anisotropic oscillator *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 092902
- [13] Verrier P E and Evans N W 2008 A new superintegrable Hamiltonian *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 022901
- [14] Rodríguez M A, Tempesta P and Winternitz P 2008 Reduction of superintegrable systems. The anisotropic harmonic oscillator *Phys. Rev. E* **78** 04608
- [15] Marquette Ian 2009 Superintegrability with third order integrals of motion, cubic algebras and supersymmetric quantum mechanics: II. Painlevé transcendent potentials *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 095202
- [16] Tremblay F and Winternitz P 2010 Third order superintegrable systems separating in polar coordinates *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** 175206
- [17] Marquette I 2009 Supersymmetry as a method of obtaining new superintegrable systems with higher order integrals of motion *J. Math. Phys.* **50** 122102
- [18] Tremblay F, Turbiner A V and Winternitz P 2009 An infinite family of solvable and integrable quantum systems on a plane *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** 242001
- [19] Tremblay F, Turbiner A V and Winternitz P 2010 Periodic orbits for an infinite family of classical superintegrable systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** 051202
- [20] Kalnins E G, Miller W Jr and Pogosyan G S 2010 Superintegrability and higher order constants for classical and quantum systems arXiv:0912.2278v1 in preparation
- [21] Quesne C 2010 Superintegrability of the Tremblay–Turbiner–Winternitz quantum Hamiltonian on a plane for odd k *J. Phys. A: Math Theor.* **43** 082001
- [22] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W Jr 2010 Superintegrability and higher order constants for quantum systems arXiv:1002.2665 in preparation
- [23] Hietarinta J, Grammaticos B, Dorizzi B and Ramani A 1984 Coupling-constant metamorphosis and duality between integrable Hamiltonian systems *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53** 1707–10
- [24] Boyer C P, Kalnins E G and Miller W 1986 Stäckel-equivalent integrable Hamiltonian systems *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **17** 778–97
- [25] Goldstein H, Poole C P and Safko J L 2001 *Classical Mechanics* 3rd edn (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley)
- [26] Turbiner A V 1988 Quasi-exactly solvable problems and $sl(2)$ algebra *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118** 467
- [27] Tempesta P, Turbiner V and Winternitz P 2001 Exact solvability of superintegrable systems *J. Math. Phys.* **42** 419–36
- [28] Kalnins E G, Miller W Jr and Post S 2010 Coupling constant metamorphosis and n th-order symmetries in classical and quantum mechanics *J. Phys. A: Math Theor.* **43** 035202
- [29] Bertrand J 1873 Théorème relatif au mouvement d'un point attiré vers un centre fixe *C. R. Acad. Sci.* **77** 849–53
- [30] Kalnins E G, Kress J M and Miller W Jr 2010 Families of classical subgroup separable superintegrable systems *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** 092001